

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,112	02/27/2004	Yasuyuki Mimatsu	TSM-35	5084
24956 7590 06/08/2007 MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C. 1800 DIAGONAL ROAD			EXAMINER	
			DOAN, TRANG T	
SUITE 370 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2131	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/787,112	MIMATSU ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Trang Doan	2131					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	_	orrespondence address					
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the provision of time with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timusely and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication.  0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 M	lay 2007.						
,	,						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 17-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 17-20</u> is/are rejected.		•					
,	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 July 2004</u> is/are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
" See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	5) Notice of Informal F	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) [_] Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/787,112 Page 2

Art Unit: 2131

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed on 05/07/2007.

2. Claims 1-4, 6-7, 9-15, 17 and 19-20 are amended. Claim 16 is canceled. Claims 1-15 and 17-20 are pending for consideration.

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

3. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 05/07/2007 has been entered.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 and 17-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2131

- 6. Claims 1-15 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ofek et al. (US Patent 6598134) (hereinafter Ofek) in view of Dalal et al. (US 7162575) (hereinafter Dalal).
- Regarding claim 1, Ofek teaches a first step for obtaining first access restriction 7. information and information at a volume which are set up on each port of a first storage device, through a second network, said first access restriction information including information of access permission from a first host computer and access denial from a second host computer (Ofek: see figure 7 item 308: host requests access to old data storage system through new data storage system); a second step for obtaining attribute information including a port type and a status of utilization of each port of a second storage device, from said second storage device through said second network (Ofek: see figure 2 and column 4 lines 38-64: data map/table contains parameters that maps the new data storage system to the old data storage system); a third step for selecting a target port which is utilized for reception of an access request to a volume of said first storage device from said first host computer (Ofek: see figure 1 and column 5 lines 11-19); and a fourth step for setting up, is assigned so that said second host computer may not access said first storage device via said second storage device. (Ofek: see figure 7 and column 12 line 59 through column 13 line 4).

Not specifically described in detail in Ofek is the step of on the target port of said second storage device which is selected in said third step, second access restriction information based on said first access restriction information of a port of said first storage device to which a volume, of which the port is utilized for an access.

Art Unit: 2131

However, Dalal, in an analogous art, teaches on the target port of said second storage device which is selected in said third step, second access restriction information based on said first access restriction information of a port of said first storage device to which a volume, of which the port is utilized for an access (Dalal: column 18 lines 30-34 and lines 53-67 and column 19 lines 1-4: template B of a first logical volume inherits all the rules that template A of a second logical volume provides). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the procedure in Ofek by including inheritance concept as taught by Dalal, because such modification would improve the ability to access data faster.

- 8. Regarding claim 2, Ofek in view of Dalal teaches wherein said third step selects an initiator port which is utilized for transmission of an access request to a volume of said first storage device from said second storage device, and said fourth step sets up, on the target port of said second storage device which is selected in said third step, the second access restriction information of the port of said first storage device to which the volume, of which the port is utilized for an access, is assigned, and permits only an access request to the first access restriction information of said first storage device to which the volume is assigned from the initiator port of said second storage device which is selected in said third step (Ofek: see figures 1& 7 and column 4 lines 38-64 and column 12 line 59 through column 13 line 4).
- 9. Regarding claim 3, Ofek in view of Dalal teaches wherein said first step obtains the first access restriction information which is set up on each port of a first storage device and information of a volume, from said first storage device through said second

Art Unit: 2131

network (Ofek: see figures 1 & 2 and column 8 lines 6-17 and lines 28-53 and column 12 lines 59-67 and column 13 lines 1-22).

- 10. Regarding claim 4, Ofek in view of Dalal teaches wherein said first step specifies the first access restriction information which is set up on each port of a first storage device and information of the volume, by obtaining information of a port of one of said host computers which is utilized for an access to the volume of said first storage device and information of the port of said first storage device, from said one of said host computers through said second network (Ofek: see figure 1 items 12, 24 and 14: host requests access to old data storage system through new data storage system).
- 11. Regarding claim 5, Ofek in view of Dalal teaches a fifth step for changing a port of said first storage device which is associated with each mount point of a file system of said host computer and a volume which is assigned to the port, to a port of said second storage device which is utilized for an access to the volume and a volume which is defined on the port (Ofek: see figure 2).
- 12. Regarding claim 6, Ofek in view of Dalal teaches wherein said first storage device sets up first access restriction information on each port, by use of a first management table in which an information identifier of a volume and a source port or an access to be permitted are listed with a LUN unit with respect to each port, and said second storage device sets up second access restriction information on each port, by use of a second management table in which a LUN and an identifier of a volume are listed with a group unit of source ports of an access to be permitted with respect to each port, and said first step obtains said first management table, and said fourth step

Application/Control Number: 10/787,112 Page 6

Art Unit: 2131

prepares said second management table as to each port of said second storage device which is selected in said third step, on the basis of a listed content of said first management table which is obtained in said first step as to each port of said first storage device to which a volume, of which said port is utilized for an access, is assigned, and updates said second management table of said second storage device (Ofek: see figures 1 & 2 and column 4 lines 38-64: data map/table contains parameters that maps the new data storage system to the old data storage system).

- 13. Regarding claim 7, Ofek in view of Dalal teaches wherein in case that one of said host computer has a plurality of paths which are used for an access to the same volume, a process of said fourth step to the volume is carried out at least twice separately to said plurality of paths (Ofek: see figures 1 and 5).
- 14. Regarding claim 8, Ofek in view of Dalal teaches wherein said second network is different from said first network (Ofek: see figures 1 and 5).
- 15. Regarding claim 9, this claim has limitations that is similar to those of claim 1, thus it is rejected with the same rationale applied against claim 1 above.
- 16. Regarding claim 10, this claim has limitations that is similar to those of claim 1, thus it is rejected with the same rationale applied against claim 1 above.
- 17. Regarding claim 11, this claim has limitations that is similar to those of claim 1, thus it is rejected with the same rationale applied against claim 1 above.
- 18. Regarding claim 12, this claim has limitations that is similar to those of claim 1, thus it is rejected with the same rationale applied against claim 1 above.

Art Unit: 2131

- 19. Regarding claim 13, this claim has limitations that is similar to those of claim 1, thus it is rejected with the same rationale applied against claim 1 above.
- 20. Regarding claim 14, this claim has limitations that is similar to those of claim 1, thus it is rejected with the same rationale applied against claim 1 above.
- 21. Regarding claim 15, this claim has limitations that is similar to those of claim 1, thus it is rejected with the same rationale applied against claim 1 above.
- 22. Regarding claim 17, Ofek in view of Dalal teaches wherein said calculation unit specifies the first access restriction information which is set up on each port of said first storage device and information of the volume, by obtaining a port of one of said host computers which is utilized for an access to the volume if said first storage device and information of the port of said first storage device, from said one host computer through said second network (Ofek: see figure 1 items 12, 24 and 14 and figure 2: host requests access to old data storage system through new data storage system).
- 23. Regarding claim 18, Ofek in view of Dalal teaches wherein said calculation unit changes a port or said first storage device which is associated with each mount point of a file system of said host computer and a volume which is assigned to the port, to a port of said second storage device which is utilized for an access to the volume and a volume which is defined on the port (Ofek: see figures 1 & 2 and column 4 lines 38-64 and column 5 lines 45-55 and column 6 lines 14-46).
- 24. Regarding claim 19, Ofek in view of Dalal teaches wherein said first storage device sets up the first access restriction information on each port, by use of a first management table on which an information identifier of a volume and a source port of

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 10/787,112

Art Unit: 2131

an access to be permitted are listed with a LUN unit with respect to each port, and said second storage device sets up the second access restriction information on each port, by use of a second management table on which a LUN and an identifier of a volume are listed with a group unit of source ports of an access to be permitted with respect to each port, and said calculation unit obtains said first management table, and prepares said second management table as to each port of said second storage device which is selected as above, on the basis of a listed content of said first management table and updates said second management table of said second storage device (Ofek: see figures 1 & 2 and column 4 lines 38-64 and column 5 lines 45-55 and column 6 lines 14-46 and column 12 line 59 through column 13 line 4).

25. Regarding claim 20, Ofek in view of Dalal teaches wherein in case that said host computers have a plurality of paths which are used for an access to the same volume, a process of setting up said access restriction information to a volume is carried out at least twice separately to said plurality of paths (Ofek: see figures 1 & 2 and column 4 lines 38-64 and column 5 lines 45-55 and column 6 lines 14-46 and column 12 line 59 through column 13 line 4).

Art Unit: 2131

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trang Doan whose telephone number is (571) 272-0740. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Trang Doan Examiner Art Unit 2131

AYAZ SHEIKH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

T.D.